Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/730,175	XUN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Carlos Lopez	1731
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>	
(1) <u>Carlos Lopez</u> .	(3)	
(2) Bruce watson.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 February 2007	Time: <u>11am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 112 2 nd rejection of claims 26-45		
Claims discussed: 26,33 and 38		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT W	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	e examiner will provide a wri ecord of the substance of th	tten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
		·
A 2 419607		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was noted to applicant that the 112 2nd paragraph rejection made in the previous office action was not address. In order to overcome the 112 2nd oaragraph rejection, ilt was agreed upon to amendment the independent claims to specify that the cooling rate is defined as the change of temperature per inch traveled by the glass during the draw.